

20060321.ba v03_n900.bam.20060321

>From ???@??? Tue Mar 21 13:15:45 2006 -0600
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 19:15:11 GMT
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 3900
Message-Id: <20060321190614.4EFFF405ED@srvr1.theporch.com>

BOATANCHORS Digest 3900

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) Gross CW-25 7 Pin Plug Question - Answered
by "Freeberg, Scott \"(STP)\" <Scott.Freeberg@guidant.com>
- 2) Relacement Electrolytics?
by Stephen & Marilyn Haines <shaines314@comcast.net>
- 3) Re: Replacement Electrolytics?
by Edward Knobloch <k4pf@juno.com>
- 4) Re: Relacement Electrolytics?
by "Al Parker" <anchor@ec.rr.com>
- 5) RE: Relacement Electrolytics?
by "TChirhart" <sparks@codepoets.com>
- 6) Re: Philips 595AN
by Richard Post <postr@ohiou.edu>
- 7) Re: National NC-101X Knob Setscrew Question
by "Arden Allen" <gumbear@pacbell.net>
- 8) Re: Relacement Electrolytics?
by "Arden Allen" <gumbear@pacbell.net>
- 9) Re: Relacement Electrolytics?
by WA5CAB@cs.com
- 10) RE: Relacement Electrolytics?
by john <johnmb@nc.rr.com>
- 11) Re: Relacement Electrolytics?
by Dan Arney <hankarn@pacbell.net>
- 12) RE: Relacement Electrolytics?
by "AB Bonds" <ab@vuse.vanderbilt.edu>
- 13) How much hum is normal?
by Stephen & Marilyn Haines <shaines314@comcast.net>
- 14) Re: How much hum is normal?
by Bob Roehrig <broehrig@aurora.edu>
- 15) Re: How much hum is normal?
by Garey Barrell <k4oah@mindspring.com>
- 16) Re: How much hum is normal?
by spr@earthlink.net
- 17) ADMINISTRIVIA: Over Quoting
by listown@nanniandjack.com (Mail List Owner)

Content-class: urn:content-classes:message
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Subject: Gross CW-25 7 Pin Plug Question - Answered
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 14:28:44 -0600
Message-ID: <159D9606C7F1304C8059DAEB6B2ED8BE0B3D6C@STPEVS01.stp.guidant.com>
From: "Freeberg, Scott \ (STP\)" <Scott.Freeberg@guidant.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Geez I'm embarrassed at the simplicity of the answer.=20

Gene, W=D8QFC, emailed suggesting that connector is really just a 7 pin = tube socket. Hmmm, I'll bet he's right after thinking about it. I = found some measurements on line for 7 pin medium tube sockets, and the = diagonal pin to pin dimension is right on and matches what I measured on = the CW-25.

I guess I got focused on "connector socket", "connector plug", instead = of simple ole tube socket. Ok I'm embarrassed :) But I did ask the = question and got the answer so I'm very happy :)

Thanks Gene.
73, Scott WA9WFA

Message-ID: <441F354A.4080003@comcast.net>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 17:05:46 -0600
From: Stephen & Marilyn Haines <shaines314@comcast.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Relacement Electrolytics?
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'm new to the list, and just bought a Heathkit HR-10B receiver. Works fine, but it has a bad 60 Hz hum in the audio. I suspect the old supply capacitor. What I need is a triple section electrolytic, 20 mf/300 v per section. Who would be a good source for those?

Thanks and 73, de Steve KW5E (licensed 1960 as WV6NGP)

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: boatanchors@theporch.com
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 20:42:10 -0500

Subject: Re: Replacement Electrolytics?
Message-ID: <20060320.204211.1272.2.k4pf@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
From: Edward Knobloch <k4pf@juno.com>

Hi, Steve

You might try Antique Electronics of Tempe Arizona:
www.tubesandmore.com
They have fresh stock Mallory FP style capacitors (a bit pricey).

73,
Ed Knobloch

> Stephen & Marilyn Haines <shaines314@comcast.net> writes:
> I'm new to the list, and just bought a Heathkit HR-10B receiver.
> Works
> fine, but it has a bad 60 Hz hum in the audio. I suspect the old
> supply
> capacitor. What I need is a triple section electrolytic, 20 mf/300 v
> per
> section. Who would be a good source for those?
>
> Thanks and 73, de Steve KW5E (licensed 1960 as WV6NGP)

Message-ID: <1cb401c64c8d\$ce25eed0\$3201a8c0@w8ut>
From: "Al Parker" <anchor@ec.rr.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Relacement Electrolytics?
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 21:18:55 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Steve,

The most economical approach is to just disconnect the leads from the triple section can and put in discrete capacitors under the chassis. The few places that have newly mfgd multi-section cans have them highly priced. Another appropch would be to remove the can, cut it open, pull out the innards and replace them with discrete caps, which will most likely fit in. Go to someone like mouser.com to get the separate caps, and whatever elsse you might need. They don't overcharge for shipping, and are quick, no min. order, etc. Don't trust any "New Old Stock" cans.

To see the re-stuff technique, take a look at:
<http://www.boatanchors.org/filtercap.htm>

73,

Al, W8UT

New Bern, NC

BoatAnchors appreciated here

<http://www.boatanchors.org>

<http://www.hammarlund.info>

----- Original Message -----

From: "Stephen & Marilyn Haines" <shaines314@comcast.net>

To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Sent: Monday, March 20, 2006 6:05 PM

Subject: Relacement Electrolytics?

> I'm new to the list, and just bought a Heathkit HR-10B receiver. Works
> fine, but it has a bad 60 Hz hum in the audio. I suspect the old supply
> capacitor. What I need is a triple section electrolytic, 20 mf/300 v per
> section. Who would be a good source for those?
>
> Thanks and 73, de Steve KW5E (licensed 1960 as WV6NGP)

From: "TChirhart" <sparks@codepoets.com>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: RE: Relacement Electrolytics?

Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 21:22:47 -0500

Message-ID: <000001c64c8e\$59b0bde0\$7001a8c0@warnerp93v1mse>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Just a thought and a question. Has anyone tried cutting the cans,
replacing new caps and sealing them again with the aluminum tape used
for HVAC to seal ducting?

73

Tom K4NCG

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-boatanchors@theporch.com

[mailto:owner-boatanchors@theporch.com] On Behalf Of Al Parker

Sent: Monday, March 20, 2006 9:19 PM

To: Old Tube Radios

Subject: Re: Relacement Electrolytics?

Hi Steve,

The most economical approach is to just disconnect the leads from the triple section can and put in discrete capacitors under the chassis. The few places that have newly mfgd multi-section cans have them highly priced. Another approach would be to remove the can, cut it open, pull out the innards and replace them with discrete caps, which will most likely fit in. Go to someone like mouser.com to get the separate caps, and whatever else you might need. They don't overcharge for shipping, and are quick, no min. order, etc. Don't trust any "New Old Stock" cans.

To see the re-stuff technique, take a look at:

<http://www.boatanchors.org/filtercap.htm>

73,

Al, W8UT

New Bern, NC

BoatAnchors appreciated here

<http://www.boatanchors.org>

<http://www.hammarlund.info>

----- Original Message -----

From: "Stephen & Marilyn Haines" <shaines314@comcast.net>

To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Sent: Monday, March 20, 2006 6:05 PM

Subject: Replacement Electrolytics?

> I'm new to the list, and just bought a Heathkit HR-10B receiver. Works
> fine, but it has a bad 60 Hz hum in the audio. I suspect the old
supply
> capacitor. What I need is a triple section electrolytic, 20 mf/300 v
per
> section. Who would be a good source for those?
>
> Thanks and 73, de Steve KW5E (licensed 1960 as WV6NGP)

Mime-Version: 1.0

Message-Id: <p05210606c0450823fb2b@[192.168.1.102]>

Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 21:29:37 -0500

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

From: Richard Post <postr@ohiou.edu>

Subject: Re: Philips 595AN

Cc: scb@hiwaay.net

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" ; format="flowed"

Hi Steve,

I have a rare "Made in USA" Philips as well. The AN in the model number indicates USA manufacture. The model number also indicates yours as postwar.

My 436AN, assuming the model number dating sequence is accurate, was built just prior to the US entry into WW II.

Here are pix and story of my 436AN

<<http://oak.cats.ohiou.edu/~postr/bapix/Phil436AN.htm>>

Note that it is very similar to yours. Can't help with the schematic. Had to repair mine barefoot. Just a typical superhet circuit so not too complicated. Has similar tuning cap to yours. IF was 455 KHz. Tube complement same as yours.

Does yours have the potted output transformer with the high-DC bias on the frame? And part of the set's entire B+ through it as in a choke?

Mine also has the banana jack pairs for phono, antenna-ground, and external speaker. Also rheostat on internal speaker.

Am convinced these sets were designed for sale outside the USA and for tropical climates. Do you have a closeup of the dial scale?

It was another Philips radio that first introduced me to my wife-to-be. Pix and story here:

<<http://oak.cats.ohiou.edu/~postr/bapix/PhBX490A.htm>>

73,

Rich KB8TAD

At 12:47 PM -0600 3/20/06, scb@hiwaay.net wrote:

> I own one of 2 I know exist,
> here is the other just found on the 'net.
>
> <<http://www.datastress.com/~mario/radio/show.php?type=595AN&brand=Philip>
> s>
>
> This set features a very unusual unevenly divided six-section main tuning VC.
>
> Thanx in advance; Steve Bringhurst

Message-ID: <002901c64ca0\$001ac2f0\$f3e47443@KB6NAX>
From: "Arden Allen" <gumbear@pacbell.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: National NC-101X Knob Setscrew Question
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 20:17:54 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> I don't have any idea on how to repair this. Does anyone here have some
experience with this sort of thing?

Drill and tap the hole for the next larger size set screw.

Arden Allen
KB6NAX

Message-ID: <002a01c64ca0\$00cf1ed0\$f3e47443@KB6NAX>
From: "Arden Allen" <gumbear@pacbell.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Replacement Electrolytics?
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 20:29:03 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> Just a thought and a question. Has anyone tried cutting the cans,
> replacing new caps and sealing them again with the aluminum tape used
> for HVAC to seal ducting?

I've considered the aluminum HVAC tape but I rebuild caps the way shown in the link to the Drake, SP-600, etc. photos. I'm a bit more finicky about the epoxy I use. I use a clear epoxy and you can hardly tell the cap was rebuilt. The problem with this method is it is not very durable, the epoxy can break if the can is subject to stress or a blow from clumsy handling of a chassis. The HVAC tape would make a more abuse tolerant joiner but you would have to cut the can in the middle making it a bit harder to gain access to the base insulator to clean out the old crud. But it can be done if looks aren't too important.

Arden Allen
KB6NAX

From: WA5CAB@cs.com
Message-ID: <312.a3c643.3150e7d8@cs.com>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 00:23:36 EST
Subject: Re: Relacement Electrolytics?
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="part1_312.a3c643.3150e7d8_boundary"

--part1_312.a3c643.3150e7d8_boundary
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Group,

I'll suggest a third method which if you are careful and possessed of decent mechanical skills and good hand-eye coordination will produce a fix as strong as the original (actually it is the original). I've mostly used it on vibrators if the external light bulb method fails. But it also works on crimp-sealed
canned cylindrical electrolytics.

Briefly, unroll the bottom crimp, pull the guts, rebuild and reinsert the guts, and re-crimp the bottom crimp.

To accomplish step one, buy a pair of the narrowest Xcelite end cutters, the ones with the green handle covers. Using a small die grinder (Dremel with smallest diameter cylindrical stone works well), carefully dull to a blunt radius the cutting edge on one jaw. Paint the end of this jaw black or maybe green (safe). Or paint the sharp one red (I did both). With the sharp jaw inboard (toward the pins or terminals), grab the lip of the crimp and lift slightly. Step over and repeat. I hold the can in my left hand (being right handed) and slowly rotate it counterclockwise. Countinue 'round and 'round until the crimp stands straight up (four to five rotations). You'll probably end up with a slight groove in the OD just below the original bend. Pull out the guts.

After repairing the guts, insert them back into the aluminum can and press down firmly. For left handed personel, hold the can in the left hand with the can sitting on the bench, and press down on the terminals or pins with the left index finger. With a very small shop hammer in the right hand, begin tapping on the lip of the crimp with the initial blows almost horizontal (you want to fold it back over, not drive it straight down). As in step one, it should take several rotations to get the crimp back down flat. Lay the cylinder on the bench and tap the OD lightly, rolling as you tap, to get rid of most of the groove you probably ended up with in step one. The finished crimp won't look as neat as the original but if you were careful in the uncrimping step (and didn't skip the Dremel step), when reinstalled against the chassis there will be

little clue that anything ever happened.

Note that this only works if the aluminum is malleable. Don't try it on a Vibrator VB-5 unless you have a replacement can. The couple of capacitors I've done were OK. But if the aluminum begins to crack as you pull it up, stop and try something else. If the aluminum is still relatively bright, it should in my experience be OK to bend.

In a message dated 3/20/2006 10:34:03 PM Central Standard Time,
gumbear@pacbell.net writes:

> >Just a thought and a question. Has anyone tried cutting the cans,
> >replacing new caps and sealing them again with the aluminum tape used
> >for HVAC to seal ducting?
>
> I've considered the aluminum HVAC tape but I rebuild caps the way shown in
> the link to the Drake, SP-600, etc. photos. I'm a bit more finicky about
> the epoxy I use. I use a clear epoxy and you can hardly tell the cap was
> rebuilt. The problem with this method is it is not very durable, the epoxy
> can break if the can is subject to stress or a blow from clumsy handling of
> a chassis. The HVAC tape would make a more abuse tolerant joiner but you
> would have to cut the can in the middle making it a bit harder to gain
> access to the base insulator to clean out the old crud. But it can be done
> if looks aren't too important.
>

Robert Downs - Houston
<<http://www.wa5cab.com>> (Web Store)
MVPA 9480
<wa5cab@cs.com> (Primary email)
<wa5cab@houston.rr.com> (Backup email)

--part1_312.a3c643.3150e7d8_boundary
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
*      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
*      If your postings display this message your mail program *
*      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

--part1_312.a3c643.3150e7d8_boundary--

Message-Id: <6.2.1.2.2.20060321063407.02425d50@pop-server.nc.rr.com>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 06:35:31 -0500
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: john <johnmb@nc.rr.com>
Subject: RE: Relacement Electrolytics?
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed

Yes, I've done that often, by either unrolling the folded lip of the aluminum can, or cutting with a dremel/abrasive wheel. Reseal carefully with JB weld (which matches the can color well). For nice old rigs, it seems worth the effort, and really isnt that hard.

John K5MO

At 09:22 PM 3/20/2006, TChirhart wrote:

>Just a thought and a question. Has anyone tried cutting the cans,
>replacing new caps and sealing them again with the aluminum tape used
>for HVAC to seal ducting?

>73

>Tom K4NCG

>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: owner-boatanchors@theporch.com

>[mailto:owner-boatanchors@theporch.com] On Behalf Of Al Parker

>Sent: Monday, March 20, 2006 9:19 PM

>To: Old Tube Radios

>Subject: Re: Relacement Electrolytics?

>

>Hi Steve,

> The most economical approach is to just disconnect the leads from
>the

>triple section can and put in discrete capacitors under the chassis.

>The

>few places that have newly mfgd multi-section cans have them highly
>priced. Another appraoch would be to remove the can, cut it open, pull
>out the innards and replace them with discrete caps, which will most
>likely fit in. Go to someone like mouser.com to get the separate caps,
>and whatever elsse you might need. They don't overcharge for shipping,
>and are quick, no min. order, etc. Don't trust any "New Old Stock"
>cans.

> To see the re-stuff technique, take a look at:

><http://www.boatanchors.org/filtercap.htm>

>73,

>Al, W8UT

>New Bern, NC

>BoatAnchors appreciated here
><http://www.boatanchors.org>
><http://www.hammarlund.info>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Stephen & Marilyn Haines" <shaines314@comcast.net>
>To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
>Sent: Monday, March 20, 2006 6:05 PM
>Subject: Relacement Electrolytics?
>
>
> > I'm new to the list, and just bought a Heathkit HR-10B receiver. Works
> > fine, but it has a bad 60 Hz hum in the audio. I suspect the old
>supply
> > capacitor. What I need is a triple section electrolytic, 20 mf/300 v
>per
> > section. Who would be a good source for those?
> >
> > Thanks and 73, de Steve KW5E (licensed 1960 as WV6NGP)
>
>
>
>--
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.2.6/286 - Release Date: 3/20/2006

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.2.6/286 - Release Date: 3/20/2006

Message-ID: <442024D1.4030408@pacbell.net>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 08:07:45 -0800
From: Dan Arney <hankarn@pacbell.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Relacement Electrolytics?
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Tom,

I did 18 sets of caps for my R-390's.

I had them turned in an engine lathe to open the cans,
I then boiled them in hot waters to loosen up the umpukcy, mistake waste
of time. then used a sharp knife to clean out the cans which worked just
fine. Stuffed the cans with new caps and closed them with JB Weld and
wiped off the excess. You have to look very hard to see the seam. I then
had my silkscreener man make me up peel n stick labels for the cans.

I now understand why Chuck Ripple gets \$75.00 to do a pair.
No Fun

No I do not have any for sale Sorry.

Hank
KN6DI

Content-class: urn:content-classes:message
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Subject: RE: Relacement Electrolytics?
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 08:37:42 -0600
Message-ID: <C2E12B15D2CA56409ED5354B2AA78B0D0221D08C@eecsmail.eecs.local>
From: "AB Bonds" <ab@vuse.vanderbilt.edu>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

I have done a couple of rebuilds by uncrimping the bottom edge of the =
can, thereby avoiding the cut. This can be done very neatly if you have =
patience. The biggest challenge is that most internal connections to =
the outer pins are made by crimping aluminum leads. It is nearly =
impossible to solder to the pins internally. I ended up drilling the =
phenolic base and running the new cap leads outside and wrapping them on =
the pins externally.

In the end, far more trouble than it's worth.

I am now hiding the new caps under the chassis.

A. B. Bonds

> -----Original Message-----
> From: owner-boatanchors@theporch.com
> [mailto:owner-boatanchors@theporch.com]On Behalf Of TChirhart
> Sent: Monday, March 20, 2006 8:23 PM
> To: Old Tube Radios
> Subject: RE: Relacement Electrolytics?
>=20

>=20

> Just a thought and a question. Has anyone tried cutting the cans,
> replacing new caps and sealing them again with the aluminum tape used
> for HVAC to seal ducting?

> 73

> Tom K4NCG

>=20

Message-ID: <44202904.5080205@comcast.net>

Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 10:25:40 -0600

From: Stephen & Marilyn Haines <shaines314@comcast.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: How much hum is normal?

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thanks for all the help on replacing filter capacitors in my newly-acquired HR-10B. I've ordered three modern caps and will tack them on the underside of the chassis.

Maybe I'm expecting too much from the old box? The receiver has a bad 120 Hz hum when I listen on a cheap \$12 set of headphones designed for boomboxes or low end stereo gear. But I just hooked up an old pair of Walkman-style speakers (maybe 2" cones) through the stereo to mono adapter, and I can't hear the hum. I guess that's because the little cones can't go near that low. Here's my question: how clean does a properly operating simple receiver sound if you listen on phones designed for audio use? Will they all show objectionable hum with those headphones? 73 de Steve KW5E

Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 11:18:26 -0600 (CST)

From: Bob Roehrig <broehrig@aurora.edu>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Re: How much hum is normal?

Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.61.0603211116040.9196@hermes.aurora.edu>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed

I'm not familiar with that receiver so I don't know what's normal for it. Many of today's headphones have response that goes quite low, where the older cans that were made for comms didn't have very good low response.

Does the hum level change with the volume control setting?

The hum could be caused by having the output transformer mounted to closely to the power transformer.

Bob Roehrig
Aurora University Telecom dept.
broehrig@aurora.edu
K9EUI W9ZGP WC2XSR/11 WD2XSH/19
630-844-4898 fax 630-844-4222
"Nostalgia is a thing of the past"

Message-ID: <44203940.2020903@mindspring.com>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 12:34:56 -0500
From: Garey Barrell <k4oah@mindspring.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: How much hum is normal?
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Steve -

One problem that shows up is the s/n ratio of the audio stages. Most receivers have an audio amplifier that runs "wide open" and control the volume by adjusting the signal level applied to the amplifier input.

What often happens is that headphones are far more efficient than speakers, causing the operator to turn the volume way down when using phones. Since the noise (hum) is constant in the amplifier stage, the lower the applied signal, the worse the s/n ratio gets. If you find that you set the volume control to say 10 O'clock for speaker use, and to 7-8 O'clock for headphone use, this is most likely the problem. The fix is to insert a series resistor at the headphone jack, (or in the phones plug,) such that for desired volume level the AF Gain control is run at 10-11 O'clock for headphone use.

Of course this all assumes that the audio stage hum and noise is "normal", and not degraded by defective filter capacitors or other induced hum. Also "Hi-Fi" phones often have enhanced low end response which can make hum levels especially critical.

73, Garey - K40AH
Atlanta

Drake B & C-Line Service CDs
<<http://www.k4oah.com>>

Stephen & Marilyn Haines wrote:

> Thanks for all the help on replacing filter capacitors in my
> newly-acquired HR-10B. I've ordered three modern caps and will tack
> them on the underside of the chassis.
>
> Maybe I'm expecting too much from the old box? The receiver has a bad
> 120 Hz hum when I listen on a cheap \$12 set of headphones designed for
> boomboxes or low end stereo gear. But I just hooked up an old pair of
> Walkman-style speakers (maybe 2" cones) through the stereo to mono
> adapter, and I can't hear the hum. I guess that's because the little
> cones can't go near that low. Here's my question: how clean does a
> properly operating simple receiver sound if you listen on phones
> designed for audio use? Will they all show objectionable hum with
> those headphones? 73 de Steve KW5E
>
>

Message-ID: <16955535.1142964025734.JavaMail.root@elwamui-
mouette.atl.sa.earthlink.net>

Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 10:00:25 -0800 (GMT-08:00)

From: spr@earthlink.net

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Re: How much hum is normal?

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Steve,

I'd advise you to make a 3:1 or 10:1 attenuator for use with the modern phones, either by modifying the radio or by amking an external widget. The attenattion should be chosen so that the volume in the phones is about the same as that in the speaker for a given volume control setting. Y0u do this, of course, after you have the receiver sorted otu properly. Modern headphones are quite efficient and have better bass response than the ones the radio was designed for.

Regards,

Scott

-----Original Message-----

>From: Stephen & Marilyn Haines <shaines314@comcast.net>

>Sent: Mar 21, 2006 8:25 AM

>To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

>Subject: How much hum is normal?

>

>Thanks for all the help on replacing filter capacitors in my
>newly-acquired HR-10B. I've ordered three modern caps and will tack them
>on the underside of the chassis.

>

>Maybe I'm expecting too much from the old box? The receiver has a bad
>120 Hz hum when I listen on a cheap \$12 set of headphones designed for
>boomboxes or low end stereo gear. But I just hooked up an old pair of
>Walkman-style speakers (maybe 2" cones) through the stereo to mono
>adapter, and I can't hear the hum. I guess that's because the little
>cones can't go near that low. Here's my question: how clean does a
>properly operating simple receiver sound if you listen on phones
>designed for audio use? Will they all show objectionable hum with those
>headphones? 73 de Steve KW5E

>

From: listtown@nanniandjack.com (Mail List Owner)

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: ADMINISTRIVIA: Over Quoting

Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 11:15:00 -0800 (PST)

Message-Id: <20060321191500.A77E616180@osr506.nanniandjack.com>

Gang-

Apparently the gentle nudge and suggestion approach to the issue of improving the quality of posts to the BoatAnchors list, and maintaining our excellent (and high) signal to noise ratio is falling on DEAF EYES!

PLEASE READ THIS AND SAVE IT BECAUSE IT IS IMPORTANT!!!

The list culture is to include only those portions of a prior post that are required to maintain the context of your comments. We have always tried to reduce the amount of "chaff" on the list, and this is one good way.

In private mail between you, a subscriber, and me, as list manager, I really don't care one way or the other, but when posting to the list, there are at least two issues of concern:

- 1) the increased bandwidth from including extra text.
With the trial subscriptions and full members, the number of copies of each post mailed out change the impact of each extra word so that each single character becomes 1,000 characters of mail!
- 2) by not editing out included text, it sends a not at all subtle message to the list, that the poster considers his/her own time

required to merely swipe his mouse across the text and tap the delete key, to be more valuable than the collective time and energy of the 600-700 readers on the list... not exactly a "positive" message! ;^)

This isn't rocket science... you place the pointer at the top of the message you are including *parts* of, and click-drag to where you need to include, and then hit the backspace or delete key... painless, easy, quick, and very helpful to the list.

PLEASE begin to pay attention to this... those who consistently can not be bothered to be considerate of the list will forfeit posting privileges and risk not being invited back for membership.

Treat the list as a symposium. In such an environment, with 600 others attending who have paid to be there, it is unlikely you would repeat someone else's portion of a conversation in its entirety as part of your own remarks... it would become very tedious, very quickly, if you did... especially if you repeated even the closing signature block of the previous message.

Thanks for your understanding and help in making the boatanchors list have the highest signal to noise on the InterNet.

--

73

Jack, W4KH/Mobile - - - BoatAnchor Mailing List Owner - - -

listown@nanniandjack.com - "Plus ca change, plus c'est la meme chose"

"Il n'y a que les idiots qui ne changent jamais d'idee"

Tue Mar 21 11:15:00 PST 2006

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 3900
